

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Section A - Solicitation/Contract Form

The following changes have been made:

INFORMATION	FROM	TO
Contract Description	<p>- Notice to Offerors: Funds are not presently available for this effort. No award will be made under this solicitation until funds are available. The Government reserves the right to cancel this solicitation, either before or after the closing date. In the event the Government cancels this solicitation, the Government has no obligation to reimburse an offeror for any costs.</p> <p>- This acquisition is a HUBZone Set-aside</p> <p>- Period of Performance: 180 Calendar Days after Notice to Proceed</p> <p>- Disclosure of magnitude: The estimated amount for this project is between: \$500,000 and \$1,000,000</p> <p>- A site visit is scheduled 8 June 2021, 12:00 PM, Central Standard Time (CST) at the 1st Special Operations Contracting Squadron, 350 Tully St, Bldg 90339, Hurlburt Field, FL 32544. Please see FAR clause 52.236-27 (Section L) and Attachment 7 for information required to attend. Email written request with the name of those attending the site visit to Richard Beaty, email richard.beaty.3@us.af.mil and Branden Cunningham, email branden.cunningham.1@us.af.mil. Requests must be received NLT 07 June 2021, 11:00 AM CST. See Section H for additional information regarding commercial vehicle and personal owned vehicle (POV) access.</p> <p>- SUBMISSION OF QUESTIONS: Questions regarding this solicitation must be submitted in writing in Word format to individuals listed in 52.236-27 NLT 2:00 pm CST 5 business days after the site visit.</p> <p>- SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS: Offerors are responsible for submitting proposal so as to reach the Government office designated in the solicitation by the date/time specified. Personnel requiring access to Hurlburt Field for the purpose of delivering a proposal must E-mail a written request containing the company name and name of person(s) requiring access to Richard Beaty, email richard.beaty.3@us.af.mil and Branden Cunningham, email branden.cunningham.1@us.af.mil. Requests must be received NLT 72 hours prior to the date and time established for receipt of proposals.</p>	<p>- Notice to Offerors: Funds are not presently available for this effort. No award will be made under this solicitation until funds are available. The Government reserves the right to cancel this solicitation, either before or after the closing date. In the event the Government cancels this solicitation, the Government has no obligation to reimburse an offeror for any costs.</p> <p>- This acquisition is a HUBZone Set-aside</p> <p>- Period of Performance: 180 Calendar Days after Notice to Proceed</p> <p>- Disclosure of magnitude: The estimated amount for this project is between: \$500,000 and \$1,000,000</p> <p>- A site visit is scheduled 8 June 2021, 12:00 PM, Central Standard Time (CST) at the 1st Special Operations Contracting Squadron, 350 Tully St, Bldg 90339, Hurlburt Field, FL 32544. Please see FAR clause 52.236-27 (Section L) and Attachment 7 for information required to attend. Email written request with the name of those attending the site visit to Richard Beaty, email richard.beaty.3@us.af.mil and Peter Ungacta, Email: peter_john.ungacta@us.af.mil. Requests must be received NLT 07 June 2021, 11:00 AM CST. See Section H for additional information regarding commercial vehicle and personal owned vehicle (POV) access.</p> <p>- SUBMISSION OF QUESTIONS: Questions regarding this solicitation must be submitted in writing in Word format to individuals listed in 52.236-27 NLT 2:00 pm CST 5 business days after the site visit.</p> <p>- SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS: Offerors are responsible for submitting proposal so as to reach the Government office designated in the solicitation by the date/time specified. Personnel requiring access to Hurlburt Field for the purpose of delivering a proposal must E-mail a written request containing the company name and name of person(s) requiring access to Richard Beaty, email richard.beaty.3@us.af.mil and email Peter Ungacta, Email: peter_john.ungacta@us.af.mil. Requests must be received NLT 72 hours prior to the date and time established for receipt of proposals.</p>
Response Due Date	24 Jun 2021	30 Jun 2021

Section G - Contract Administration Data

Miscellaneous text in this section has been modified to:

CLAUSES INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

252.204-7006 Billing Instructions (OCT 2005)

CLAUSES INCORPORATED BY FULL TEXT

252.232-7006 Wide Area WorkFlow Payment Instructions (DEC 2018)

(a) Definitions. As used in this clause--

"Department of Defense Activity Address Code (DoDAAC)" is a six position code that uniquely identifies a unit, activity, or organization.

"Document type" means the type of payment request or receiving report available for creation in Wide Area WorkFlow (WAWF).

"Local processing office (LPO)" is the office responsible for payment certification when payment certification is done external to the entitlement system.

"Payment request" and "receiving report" are defined in the clause at 252.232-7003, Electronic Submission of Payment Requests and Receiving Reports.

(b) Electronic invoicing. The WAWF system provides the method to electronically process vendor payment requests and receiving reports, as authorized by Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) 252.232-7003, Electronic Submission of Payment Requests and Receiving Reports.

(c) WAWF access. To access WAWF, the Contractor shall--

(1) Have a designated electronic business point of contact in the System for Award Management at <https://www.sam.gov>; and

(2) Be registered to use WAWF at <https://wawf.eb.mil/> following the step-by-step procedures for selfregistration available at this web site.

(d) WAWF training. The Contractor should follow the training instructions of the WAWF Web-Based Training Course and use the Practice Training Site before Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement Part 252-- Solicitation Provisions and Contract Clauses 1998 EDITION 252.232-6 submitting payment requests through WAWF. Both can be accessed by selecting the "Web Based Training" link on the WAWF home page at <https://wawf.eb.mil/>.

(e) WAWF methods of document submission. Document submissions may be via web entry, Electronic Data Interchange, or File Transfer Protocol.

(f) WAWF payment instructions. The Contractor shall use the following information when submitting payment requests and receiving reports in WAWF for this contract or task or delivery order:

(1) Document type. The Contractor shall submit payment requests using the following document type(s):
Construction Invoice

(i) For cost-type line items, including labor-hour or time-and-materials, submit a cost voucher.

(ii) For fixed price line items--

(A) That require shipment of a deliverable, submit the invoice and receiving report specified by the Contracting

Officer.

(B) For services that do not require shipment of a deliverable, submit either the Invoice 2in1, which meets the requirements for the invoice and receiving report, or the applicable invoice and receiving report, as specified by the Contracting Officer.

Construction Invoice

iii) For customary progress payments based on costs incurred, submit a progress payment request.

(iv) For performance based payments, submit a performance based payment request.

(v) For commercial item financing, submit a commercial item financing request.

(2) Fast Pay requests are only permitted when Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 52.213-1 is included in the contract.

(3) Document routing. The Contractor shall use the information in the Routing Data Table below only to fill in applicable fields in WAWF when creating payment requests and receiving reports in the system.

Routing Data Table*

Field Name in WAWF Data to be entered in WAWF

Pay Official DoDAAC F87700
Issue By DoDAAC FA4417
Admin DoDAAC FA4417
Inspect By DoDAAC F2F323
Ship To Code F2F323
Ship From Code ____
Mark For Code ____
Service Approver (DoDAAC) F2F323
Service Acceptor (DoDAAC) F2F332
Accept at Other DoDAAC ____
LPO DoDAAC ____
DCAA Auditor DoDAAC ____
Other DoDAAC(s) _F2F332____

(4) Payment request. The Contractor shall ensure a payment request includes documentation appropriate to the type of payment request in accordance with the payment clause, contract financing clause, or Federal Acquisition Regulation 52.216-7, Allowable Cost and Payment, as applicable.

(5) Receiving report. The Contractor shall ensure a receiving report meets the requirements of DFARS Appendix F.

(g) WAWF point of contact.

(1) The Contractor may obtain clarification regarding invoicing in WAWF from the following contracting activity's WAWF point of contact.

Contracting Officer, Richard T. Beaty: richard.beaty.3@us.af.mil

Contract Specialist, Peter Ungacta: peter_john.ungacta@us.af.mil

(2) Contact the WAWF helpdesk at 866-618-5988, if assistance is needed.
(End of clause)

Section J - List of Attachments

Miscellaneous text in this section has been modified to:

Attachment 1 - Specifications, dated 4 November 2020 (468 pages)
Attachment 2 - Drawings, dated 4 November 2020 (30 pages)
Attachment 2.a. - FA001 Revised 6-17-2021 (1 Page)
Attachment 3 - Wage Determination, dated 7 May 2021 (5 pages)
Attachment 4 - Past Present Performance Questionnaire, dated 7 May 2021 (4 pages)
Attachment 5 - Financial Reference Sheet, dated 7 May 2021 (1 page)
Attachment 6 - 50 Division Construction Cost Estimate Worksheet (Excel worksheet with 48 tabs)
Attachment 7 - Consolidated Site Visit Questions With Responses

Section L - Instructions, Conditions, & Notices to Offerors or Quoters

Miscellaneous text in this section has been modified to:

CLAUSES INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

52.204-7 System for Award Management (OCT 2018)
52.204-16 Commercial and Government Entity Code Reporting (JUL 2016)
52.204-22 Alternative Line Item Proposal (JAN 2017)
52.215-1 Instructions to Offerors--Competitive Acquisition (JAN 2017)
52.222-5 Construction Wage Rate Requirements--Secondary Site of the Work (MAY 2014)
52.232-13 Notice of Progress Payments (APR 1984)

CLAUSES INCORPORATED BY FULL TEXT

52.211-1 AVAILABILITY OF SPECIFICATIONS LISTED IN THE GSA INDEX OF FEDERAL

SPECIFICATIONS, STANDARDS AND COMMERCIAL ITEM DESCRIPTIONS, FPMR PART 101-29
(AUG 1998)

(a) The GSA Index of Federal Specifications, Standards and Commercial Item Descriptions, FPMR Part 101-29, and copies of specifications, standards, and commercial item descriptions cited in this solicitation may be obtained for a fee by submitting a request to--GSA Federal Supply Service, specifications Section, Suite 8100, 470 East L'Enfant Plaza, SW, Washington, DC 20407, Telephone (202) 619-8925, Facsimile (202) 619-8978.

(b) If the General Services Administration, Department of Agriculture, or Department of Veterans Affairs issued this solicitation, a single copy of specifications, standards, and commercial item descriptions cited in this solicitation may be obtained free of charge by submitting a request to the addressee in paragraph (a) of this provision. Additional copies will be issued for a fee.

52.211-2 AVAILABILITY OF SPECIFICATIONS, STANDARDS, AND DATA ITEM DESCRIPTIONS LISTED IN THE ACQUISITION STREAMLINING AND STANDARDIZATION INFORMATION SYSTEM (ASSIST) (APR 2014)

(a) Most unclassified Defense specifications and standards may be downloaded from the following ASSIST

websites:

- (1) ASSIST (<https://assist.dla.mil/online/start/>);
- (2) Quick Search (<http://quicksearch.dla.mil/>);
- (3) ASSISTdocs.com (<http://assistdocs.com>).

(b) Documents not available from ASSIST may be ordered from the Department of Defense Single Stock Point (DoDSSP) by--

- (1) Using the ASSIST Shopping Wizard (<https://assist.dla.mil/wizard/index.cfm>);
 - (2) Phoning the DoDSSP Customer Service Desk (215) 697-2179, Mon-Fri, 0730 to 1600 EST; or
 - (3) Ordering from DoDSSP, Building 4, Section D, 700 Robbins Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19111-5094, Telephone (215) 697-2667/2179, Facsimile (215) 697-1462.
- (End of provision)

52.216-1 TYPE OF CONTRACT (APR 1984)

The Government contemplates award of a Firm Fixed Price contract resulting from this solicitation.
(End of provision)

52.222-5 CONSTRUCTION WAGE RATE REQUIREMENTS--SECONDARY SITE OF THE WORK (MAY 2014)

(a)(1) The offeror shall notify the Government if the offeror intends to perform work at any secondary site of the work, as defined in paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of the FAR clause at 52.222-6, Construction Wage Rate Requirements, of this solicitation.

(2) If the offeror is unsure if a planned work site satisfies the criteria for a secondary site of the work, the offeror shall request a determination from the Contracting Officer.

(b)(1) If the wage determination provided by the Government for work at the primary site of the work is not applicable to the secondary site of the work, the offeror shall request a wage determination from the Contracting Officer.

(2) The due date for receipt of offers will not be extended as a result of an offeror's request for a wage determination for a secondary site of the work.
(End of provision)

52.228-1 Bid Guarantee (SEPT 1996)

(a) Failure to furnish a bid guarantee in the proper form and amount, by the time set for opening of bids, may be cause for rejection of the bid.

(b) The bidder shall furnish a bid guarantee in the form of a firm commitment, e.g., bid bond supported by good and sufficient surety or sureties acceptable to the Government, postal money order, certified check, cashier's check, irrevocable letter of credit, or, under Treasury Department regulations, certain bonds or notes of the United States. The Contracting Officer will return bid guarantees, other than bid bonds-

(1) To unsuccessful bidders as soon as practicable after the opening of bids; and

(2) To the successful bidder upon execution of contractual documents and bonds (including any necessary coinsurance or reinsurance agreements), as required by the bid as accepted.

(c) The amount of the bid guarantee shall be 20 percent of the bid price or \$3,000,000.00, whichever is less.

(d) If the successful bidder, upon acceptance of its bid by the Government within the period specified for acceptance, fails to execute all contractual documents or furnish executed bond(s) within 10 days after receipt of the forms by the bidder, the Contracting Officer may terminate the contract for default.

(e) In the event the contract is terminated for default, the bidder is liable for any cost of acquiring the work that exceeds the amount of its bid, and the bid guarantee is available to offset the difference.

(End of clause)

52.233-2 SERVICE OF PROTEST (SEP 2006)

(a) Protests, as defined in section 33.101 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation, that are filed directly with an agency, and copies of any protests that are filed with the Government Accountability Office (GAO), shall be served on the Contracting Officer (addressed as follows) by obtaining written and dated acknowledgment of receipt from

1 SOCONS/PKB
Attn: Richard Beaty
350 Tully Street
Hurlburt Field, FL 32544

(b) The copy of any protest shall be received in the office designated above within one day of filing a protest with the GAO.

(End of provision)

52.236-27 Site Visit (Construction)--Alternate I (FEB 1995)

(a) The clauses at 52.236-2, Differing Site Conditions, and 52.236-3, Site Investigations and Conditions Affecting the Work, will be included in any contract awarded as a result of this solicitation. Accordingly, offerors or quoters are urged and expected to inspect the site where the work will be performed.

(b) An organized site visit has been scheduled for --
See Block 10 of AF 1442 for date and time.

(c) Participants will meet at --

1st Special Operations Contracting Squadron
350 Tully St, Bldg 90339,
Hurlburt Field, FL 32544
(End of Provision)

52.252-1 Solicitation Provisions Incorporated by Reference (FEB 1998)

This solicitation incorporates one or more solicitation provisions by reference, with the same force and effect as if they were given in full text. Upon request, the Contracting Officer will make their full text available. The offeror is cautioned that the listed provisions may include blocks that must be completed by the offeror and submitted with its quotation or offer. In lieu of submitting the full text of those provisions, the offeror may identify the provision by paragraph identifier and provide the appropriate information with its quotation or offer. Also, the full text of a solicitation provision may be accessed electronically at this/these address(es):

<https://www.acquisition.gov/>
(End of provision)

52.252-5 Authorized Deviations in Provisions (APR 1984)

(a) The use in this solicitation of any Federal Acquisition Regulation (48 CFR Chapter 1) provision with an authorized deviation is indicated by the addition of "(DEVIATION)" after the date of the provision.

(b) The use in this solicitation of any Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (48 CFR Chapter 2) provision with an authorized deviation is indicated by the addition of "(DEVIATION)" after the name of the regulation.

(End of provision)

1.0 GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

1.1 General Information

1.1.1 The offeror's proposal must include all data and information requested by these Instructions to Offerors and must be submitted in accordance with these instructions. The offer shall be compliant with the requirements as stated in the Specifications and Solicitation. Non-conformance with the instructions provided in these Instructions to Offerors may result in an unfavorable proposal evaluation.

1.1.2 The proposal acceptance period is specified in Block 13, SF 1442, of this solicitation. By signing block 20B of the SF 1442, the offeror certifies that the proposal is valid from the due date and time listed in block 13A through the entire period specified in block 13D.

1.1.3 All referenced documents for this solicitation are available on the Contract Opportunities (FBO) website at <https://beta.sam.gov/>. Potential offerors are encouraged to subscribe for real-time e-mail notifications when information has been posted to the website for this solicitation.

1.1.4 The Government reserves the right to revise or amend the specifications, drawings, or the solicitation prior to the proposal closing time. Such revisions or amendments will be communicated by amendments to the Request for Proposal (RFP) and posted on the beta.sam website. If such amendments require material changes in quantities or prices, the proposal closing date may be postponed by enough days to enable offerors to revise their proposals. In such cases, the amendment will include an announcement of the new closing date and time. Offerors MUST acknowledge all amendments in their proposal, either by completing SF 1442 Block 19, providing signed copies of the amendments with their proposal (Section III), or by separate letter which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers.

1.1.5 The Contracting Officer listed below is the Government's sole point of contact for this acquisition. Address any questions or concerns you may have to the Contracting Officer. Written requests for clarification may be sent to the Contracting Officer at the following email address:

Richard T. Beaty, Email: richard.beaty.3@us.af.mil

1.1.6 In accordance with FAR Subpart 4.8 (Government Contract Files), the Government will retain a copy of all unsuccessful proposals.

1.1.7 The Contracting Officer will promptly notify offerors of any decision to exclude them from the competition; whereupon, they may request and receive a debriefing in accordance with FAR 15.505. Excluded offerors may request a pre-award debriefing or they may choose to wait until after the source selection decision to request a post award debriefing. However, excluded offerors are entitled to no more than one debriefing for each proposal. The Contracting Officer will notify unsuccessful offerors of the source selection decision in accordance with FAR 15.503. Upon such notification, unsuccessful offerors may request and receive a

debriefing. Offerors desiring a debriefing must make their request in accordance with the requirements of FAR 15.505 or FAR 15.506, as applicable.

1.1.8 If an offeror believes that the requirements in these instructions contain an error, omission, or are otherwise unsound, the offeror shall immediately notify the Contracting Officer in writing with supporting rationale as well as the remedies the offeror is asking the Contracting Officer to consider as related to the claimed omission or error. The offeror is reminded that the Government reserves the right to award this effort based on the initial proposal, as received, without discussions. This reservation includes matters of additional or substitute pages of the initial proposal.

1.1.9 The Contracting Officer has determined there is a high probability of adequate price competition in this acquisition. Upon examination of the initial offers, the Contracting Officer will review this determination and if, in the contracting officer's opinion, adequate price competition exists no additional cost information will be requested and certification under FAR 15.406-2 will not be required. However, if at any time during this competition the Contracting Officer determines that adequate price competition no longer exists, offerors may be required to submit information to the extent necessary for the contracting officer to determine the reasonableness and affordability of the price.

1.1.10 Who Can Participate. Proposals may only be submitted by HubZone certified Small Business firms. At the time of initial contract offer and at time of award, each business must be a certified HubZone Small Business. In order to submit an offer on a solicitation, each business concern in a Teaming Agreement or Joint Venture must be a small business under the designated NAICS size standard, and must also be a small business when combined in the aggregate of all teaming affiliates.

2.0 SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS.

2.1 The following instructions are to aid in the evaluation process.

2.1.1 Offerors are responsible for submitting proposals (including any revisions, and amendments) so as to reach the Government office designated in this solicitation by the time specified in this solicitation. Only electronic versions of the proposals will be accepted. Paper copies of proposals will not be evaluated, will be rejected, and will not be considered for award. Proposals may be submitted electronically in one of the following three (3) ways:

2.1.1.1 Contractors may submit proposals electronically to the Government via the DoD SAFE site (<https://safe.apps.mil/>). If submitting via DoD SAFE site, the offeror shall send an email requesting an "Electronic Drop Authorization" to personnel listed below no later than (NLT) 48 hours prior to the proposal due date/time. All documents submitted must be saved in .pdf format, and shall follow the instructions in 2.1.4 below. Title of the documents should include the offerors CAGE code (e.g. "Vol 1 Tech Proposal CAGE#"). Submission of proposals through DoD SAFE site shall be addressed to the following:

Mr. Richard Beaty, Email: richard.beaty.3@us.af.mil

TSgt Peter Ungacta, Email: peter_john.ungacta@us.af.mil

2.1.1.2 Proposals may be hand carried submissions of disks (CDs or DVDs) containing electronic files. All documents must be in .pdf format and shall follow the instructions in 2.1.4 below. Title of the documents should include the offerors CAGE code (e.g. "Vol 1 Tech proposal CAGE#"). The physical address for hand carried deliveries is 350 Tully Street, Building 90339, Hurlburt Field, Florida 32544. Contractors should request base access for hand carried proposal or bonds delivery NLT 72 hours prior to the proposal due date/time by sending an email request identifying the company name and list of individuals requesting access to the Contracting Officer identified in paragraph 1.1.5 in the previous section.

2.1.1.3 Proposals may also be submitted by mail to the 1st Special Operations Contracting Squadron at Hurlburt Field, Florida. Mailed proposals must be on disk (CD or DVD) and all files must be in .pdf format. Title of the documents should include the offerors CAGE code (e.g. "Vol 1 Tech Proposal CAGE#"). Do not use USPS mail service. Mail only using UPS or FedEx. The address for proposal and bond submission is:

1st Special Operations Contracting Squadron / PKB
Attention: Richard Beaty
350 Tully Street Hurlburt Field, FL 32544

2.1.2 Any proposal, bond, modification, or revision, that is received at the designated Government office after the exact time specified for receipt of proposals will be "late" and will not be considered unless the Contracting Officer determines the criteria set forth in FAR 15.208 exists.

2.1.3 Proposals shall be complete, clearly presented, and include sufficient detail for effective evaluation as detailed in section M of this solicitation and for substantiating validity of stated claims. The proposal should not simply rephrase or restate the Government's requirements but rather provide convincing rationale to address how the offeror intends to meet these requirements. Offerors shall assume that the Government has no prior knowledge of the offeror's facilities and/or experience and will rely heavily, on the information presented in the offeror's proposal. Proposals shall be neat, indexed (cross-indexed as appropriate) and assembled electronically in an orderly manner. Elaborate artwork and expensive visual and other presentation aids are neither necessary nor desired. Include only information that is relevant to this source selection.

2.1.4 Organization/Number of Copies/Page Limits.

2.1.4.1 A complete proposal shall consist of three (3) volumes: Volume I, Technical Proposal, subfactor 1 and Subfactor 2; Volume II (a), Past Performance; Volume II (b), Signed Teaming or Joint Venture (JV) Agreement (if applicable); and Volume III, Cost/Price Proposal (Executed RFP Documents)/Contractor Responsibility. Specific guidance regarding the content of each volume will be discussed further below. Page limitations shall be treated as maximums. If exceeded, the excess pages will not be read or considered in the evaluation of the proposal. When Evaluation Notices (ENs) are necessary, the Government will issue them by electronic means to prospective offerors. Page limitations may be placed on responses to these ENs and such limitations will be provided at the time the EN is issued.

2.1.4.2 Electronic Page Size, Format and Limit.

2.1.4.2.1 An electronic page is defined as each face of an 8 1/2" X 11" electronic sheet of paper containing information. Page limits apply to all electronic files whether submitted via AMRDEC SAFE or compact disk (CD). Pages in excess of the maximum page limits defined below will not be evaluated. For the purposes of formatting, the type font shall not be less than 12 pitch. Pages shall be numbered sequentially by volume.

Volume I - Technical Proposal: Subfactor 1 and Subfactor 2: 40 Page Limit

Volume II (a) - Past Performance: 20 Page Limit

Volume II (b) - Signed Teaming or JV Agreement (required if Teaming or JV is proposed): No page limit

Volume III - Cost/Price Proposal and Contractor Responsibility: No Page Limit

2.1.4.2.2 All pages of each part shall be appropriately numbered and identified with the Request for Proposal (RFP) number. Pages in excess of the maximum will not be evaluated.

2.1.4.3 Cost or Pricing Related Data. All cost and/or pricing data shall ONLY be addressed in the Cost/Price Proposal Volume.

2.1.4.4 Indexing. Electronic files should be titled appropriately so as to easily discern each volume as outlined in paragraph 2.1.4.1. Each file shall contain a more detailed table of contents to delineate the subparagraphs within that file. Tab indexing shall be used to identify sections. Indexing is not included in the page limitations.

2.1.4.5 Proposal Acceptance Period. The proposal acceptance period is specified in Block 13d of the Standard Form 1442 of the Solicitation. In accordance with Block 17, the number inserted must be equal to or greater than the number of days listed in Block 13d.

3.0 GENERAL: The Technical proposal shall be prepared in accordance with these instructions and shall be evaluated in accordance with the evaluation criteria and evaluation standards in Section M, Evaluation Factors for Award. Technical proposals shall include necessary information to enable the evaluators to form a definitive conclusion concerning the offeror's ability to perform the required construction.

3.1 FACTOR 1, Volume 1 - Technical Proposal (Submit one (1) original and one (1) sanitized copy with CAGE code only in place of Company Name) Sanitized copy shall be provided as a separate file name. (e.g., "Subfactor 1 Sanitized Tech Proposal CAGE#"). Sanitized copy shall include all subfactors.")

3.1.1 SUBFACTOR 1 - Project Schedule: Using the provided specifications, the offeror must provide a project schedule and narrative supporting the schedule. The proposal shall describe procedures, processes, and methods for tracking the progress of the project and interface with the Government and contractor team from beginning to end. The project schedule and supporting narrative shall capture the separate work elements of the project, the order of work elements to include project phasing, number of days for each work element, and the identification of long lead time materials. Long lead items such as major equipment, electrical equipment, pre-engineered piping, etc., must be specifically identified in the Technical proposal. If there are no Long Lead Time Materials, the proposal must state that there are no Long Lead Time Materials. At a minimum, the project schedule must include mobilization, submittals, demolition, and major work elements by specification division, final inspection, demobilization, and close-out documents. The schedule must be in the form of a progress chart of suitable scale to indicate appropriately the percentage of work scheduled for completion by any given date during the performance period. The submitted schedule must be developed using project scheduling software such as MS Project, Primavera, or any other comparable form. The AF Form 3064, Contract Progress Schedule may also be used for submission of this item, and is recommended. Offerors should only include the work elements necessary to complete the required work. The AF Form 3064, Contract Progress Schedule shall reflect a 380-day performance period. This list is provided as a guide and is not all inclusive or exclusive. It is the offeror's responsibility to identify all necessary work elements. The form must show major measurable line items of the construction project, percentage/value each line item represents in the total proposal cost and a timeline when each line item is scheduled to be completed. The project schedule itself shall visually depict and address each of the following elements:

3.1.1.1 Identification of separate work elements.

3.1.1.2 Order of work elements to include project phasing (including identification of critical path if required).

3.1.1.3 Number of days for each work element.

3.1.1.4 Identification of Long Lead Time Materials (Long lead items such as major equipment, electrical equipment, preengineered piping, etc., must be specifically identified in the technical proposal. If there are no Long Lead Time Materials, the proposal must state that there are no Long Lead Time Materials).

3.1.2 SUBFACTOR 2 - Qualifications.

3.1.2.1 Qualifications:

Provide resumes, certifications or licenses that show offeror's personnel has achieved the minimum qualifications. The contractor's key personnel who will be involved in the day-to-day accomplishment of this contract shall include, as a minimum, personnel qualified in the areas identified below. The following subparagraphs identify the minimum requirements, certifications, licenses, etc. required for personnel performing activities or acting in a specific capacity:

-Program/Project Manager (PM):

The contractor's Program/Project Manager shall have as a minimum at least five (5) years experience managing construction projects. The PM is the primary POC for the CO or all contractual issues with regards to project /program execution and is responsible for the overall management of this contract. The PM is to ensure that quality work is accomplished on schedule and assuring qualified personnel and subcontractors are assigned to complete performance. It is the PM's responsibility to ensure that contractor personnel and subcontractors possess the appropriate qualifications and experience to complete specified project tasks and that they comply with all statutes, regulations, as well as the contract requirements. The PM shall have and provide to CO, upon request, all required employee or subcontractor certifications and qualifications.

-Superintendent:

Must have a minimum of five (5) years experience in construction with at least two (2) years of those years as a superintendent on projects similar to the relevancy of the required project. Must demonstrate the familiarity with the requirements of EM 385-1-1 and have experience in the areas of hazard identification and safety compliance, to include successful completion of the OSHA 10-hour Training Course within the past three (3) years.

4.0 FACTOR 2, PAST PERFORMANCE. (Submit one (1) copy) Volume II (a) is limited to 20 pages and Volume II (b) - Signed Teaming or JV Agreement has no page limit. Past Performance Questionnaires and Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) evaluations are not included in the page limitation.

4.1 Volume II (a) - Past Performance - Offerors shall provide adequate past performance information on completed or current contracts (including Federal, State, local government and private) considered most relevant in demonstrating the ability to perform the proposed work as identified within the Specifications. Offerors must provide past performance information to establish Recency and Relevancy on all Federal contracts before State, local government or private contracts. The Government's evaluation of past performance information may take into account past performance information regarding predecessor companies, key personnel who have relevant experience, or subcontractors that will perform major or critical aspects (see Teaming Agreements and JV's, para 4.2 and 4.3), when such information is relevant to the instant acquisition. Offerors are required to explain what aspects of each contract are deemed relevant to complexity and magnitude as related to the requirements of this solicitation and in accordance with Section M. Failure to provide required relevancy description may impact the confidence rating, (i.e. aspects of previous projects should match work elements identified in the Solicitation /specification).

4.1.1 Provide a summary of the previous contracts described above, not to exceed 1 page of past performance information per reference. The summary should explain what aspects of each contract are deemed recent and relevant as related to the requirements of this solicitation and in accordance with Section M. Failure to provide required relevancy description may impact the confidence rating, (i.e. aspects of previous projects should match work elements identified in the solicitation/specification). The summary should not exceed 1 page per reference (maximum total of 20 pages) and include:

a) Name of project (Contract number, if applicable)

- b) Name and address of customer or Government agency
- c) Name, telephone, fax number and/or email of customer contact or contracting officer
- d) Dollar value
- e) Period of performance/Completion date
- f) Brief description of work performed, and why the effort is relevant
- g) Comments regarding any known performance deemed unacceptable to the customer, or not in accordance with the contract terms and conditions

4.1.1.1 Each proposal shall provide current and relevant information regarding an offeror's actions under previously awarded contracts:

a) Recency Assessment:

An assessment of the past performance information will be made to determine if it is recent. To be recent, the effort must be ongoing or must have been performed during the past six (6) years from the issuance month of this solicitation. Past performance information that fails this condition will not be evaluated.

b) Relevancy Assessment:

The Government will conduct an in-depth evaluation of all recent performance information obtained to determine how closely the products provided/services performed under those contracts relate to the Technical factor and Cost/Price factor, including their relative order of importance [reference Section M, para 1.1(a)(2)]. Consideration will be given to projects involving the type of construction and work elements described in the attached Specifications. Relevant past performance information must demonstrate construction experience involving the type of construction and work elements described in the attached Specifications, Section 01 11 00, paragraph 1.1.1, and costs comparable to the project magnitude as specified in Block 10 for the SF 1442, Request for Proposal. A relevancy determination of the offeror's past performance will be made based upon the aforementioned considerations, including joint venture partner(s). In determining relevancy for individual contracts, consideration will be given to the effort, or portion of the effort, being proposed by the offeror or teaming partner whose contract is being reviewed and evaluated. The past performance questionnaires and information obtained from other sources will be used to establish the degree of relevancy of past performance.

4.1.2 Complete Section A, Contractor Information, of Attachment 4, Past/Present Performance Questionnaire and mail, or email the attached Past/Present Performance Questionnaire to all past performance references in the list you provide and instruct them to return the completed questionnaire to the individual(s) indicated in paragraph 4.1.3 below. Evaluators are only allowed to use the Attachment 4, Past Performance Questionnaire. Altered or substituted questionnaires will not be evaluated. Also, Past Performance Questionnaires will only be accepted electronically by email from Project Owners or their authorized representatives. Past Performance Questionnaires will not be accepted from Prime Contractors, Subcontractors, or Manufacturers. Offerors are responsible to ensure questionnaires are transmitted to their references, and to indicate which references the questionnaire was sent to.

4.1.3 Inform your references that they shall forward questionnaires electronically by email directly to the address below. Questionnaires must be received in the 1st Special Operations Contracting Squadron office not later than the closing date of the solicitation. Offerors are responsible for ensuring their references transmit the questionnaire to the Contracting Office. In lieu of a Past/Present Performance Questionnaire, if a project is currently available in the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), the contractor should attach the evaluation to the questionnaire with the relevant solicitation number and submit directly to the

1st Special Operations Contracting Squadron office before the official closing date and time. Electronic submissions shall be sent to:

Mr. Richard Beaty, Email: richard.beaty.3@us.af.mil

TSgt Peter Ungacta, Email: peter_john.ungacta@us.af.mil

4.1.4 Lack of any past performance will not automatically disqualify an offeror, but it is a factor that is considered in the Best Value Subjective Tradeoff Source Selection described in Section M.

4.1.5 If the offeror claims there is no past performance, then that status must be identified to the Contracting Officer not later than the date/time proposals are due from all offerors.

4.2 Volume II (b) Signed Teaming Agreement - If Teaming Agreements are contemplated they must comply with 13 CFR Part 121 - Small Business Size Regulations. The teaming members must provide complete information as to relevant and recent past performance information on previous teaming agreements. If this is a first time joint effort, each party to the teaming agreement must provide information on all relevant contracts as specified in paragraph 4.1. The maximum number of references combined shall not exceed 15 total.

4.2.1 The Government will recognize the integrity and validity of formal contractor Teaming Agreements; provided, the agreements are identified and company relationships are fully disclosed in an offer. A Teaming Agreement must establish each party's role in the proposal preparation process and will be incorporated into the contract. Failure to clearly define roles and/or provide a Teaming Agreement with a proposal shall make teaming arrangements and related subcontractor past performance ineligible for evaluation and consideration of award. The prime contractor shall remain fully responsible for contract performance, regardless of any Teaming Agreement between the prime contractor and its subcontractors. Teaming Agreements must provide the following information as part of their proposal, Volume II (b), not later than the date/ time proposals are due:

4.2.1.1 Clearly establish roles of each party (who is prime and who is subcontractor, who is responsible for what tasks, contract administration, proposals, work management, etc.)

4.2.1.2 Provide for protection of competition-sensitive proprietary information. (Subcontractor past performance cannot be disclosed to the prime offeror without the subcontractor's consent). Provide a letter from all subcontractors that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement, consenting to the release of their past performance information to the prime contractor, only if it is being used as part of the past performance evaluation.

4.2.1.3 Identify each member's share of the prospective contract, 50/50, 51/49, etc.

4.2.1.4 Exclusivity. Assurance that the team member will not be replaced for the duration of the contract, any exceptions should be identified. Assurance that the team members are not teaming with another firm for the same procurement.

4.2.1.5 Statement of acknowledgement that the Prime Contractor is responsible for adhering to contract terms and conditions and daily management. The Prime Contractor is obligated to negotiate in good faith and responsible for conveying mandatory government terms and conditions to subcontractors.

4.2.1.6 The prime contractor shall remain fully responsible for contract performance, regardless of any teaming agreement between the prime contractor and its subcontractors.

4.2.2 At the time of initial contract offer and at time of award, each business must be a small business. In order to submit an offer on a contract, each business concern in a Teaming Agreement must be a small business under the designated NAICS size standard, and must also be small when combined in the aggregate of all teaming

affiliates.

4.3 Volume II (b) Joint Ventures - A certified HUBZone small business concern may enter into a joint venture agreement with one or more other small business concerns, or with an approved mentor authorized by 13 C.F.R. (S) 125.9 (or, if also an 8(a) BD Participant, with an approved mentor authorized by 13 C.F.R (S) 124.520), for the purpose of submitting an offer for a HUBZone contract. The joint venture itself need not be a certified HUBZone small business concern.

4.3.1 A joint venture of at least one certified HUBZone small business concern and one or more other business concerns may submit an offer as a small business for a HUBZone procurement or sale so long as each HUBZone is small under the size standard corresponding to the NAICS code assigned to the procurement.

4.3.2 A joint venture between a protege firm and its SBA-approved mentor (see 13 C.F.R (S) 125.9) will be deemed small provided the protege qualifies as small for the size standard corresponding to the NAICS code assigned to the HUBZone procurement.

4.3.3 As part of the proposal, Volume II (b), Joint Venture Agreements must meet the criteria set forth in 13 CFR (S) 125.8.

5.0 FACTOR 3, VOLUME III - PRICE/COST PROPOSAL. (Submit the Standard Form 1442 and all pages originally included in the RFP. Submit one (1) copy.)

5.1 Complete blocks 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, and 20a-c of the SF 1442, Solicitation, Offer, and Award. An authorized official of the firm submitting the offer must sign and date the SF 1442 in block 20a-c. In doing so, the offeror accedes to the contract terms and conditions as written in the RFP, Sections A through K.

5.2 Insert prices in Section B for each Contract Line Items, including all options. All line items must be filled in. Failure to provide prices for all line items may eliminate you from competition.

5.3 In addition to the line item pricing in section B, the contractor shall complete the 50 Division Construction Cost Estimate Worksheet (Attachment 6). Contractor shall fill in construction cost estimate worksheet to include the summary and specific divisions to be utilized for this project. At a minimum, each division should include breakdowns of specific labor and material cost. The use of lump sum or lot pricing should be avoided.

5.4 Complete representations and certifications in Section K or the System for Award Management (SAM).

5.5 Submit the Financial Reference Sheet (Attachment 5) with the top portion only completed.

5.6 Submit an electronic copy of the original Bid Bond.

6.0 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INSTRUCTIONS AND EVALUATION.

Your attention is directed to the functional relationship between Sections L and M of this solicitation. Section L provides information for the purpose of organizing the proposal and is not intended to be all inclusive. Section M describes evaluation factors for award. Since the Government evaluation of proposals will cover areas identified in Section M, proposals should address all such areas of evaluation.

7.0 AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION PRIOR TO CLOSING.

The Government reserves the right to revise or amend the specifications, drawings, or the solicitation prior to the proposal closing time. Such reservations or amendments will be communicated by amendments to the Request for Proposal (RFP) and posted on the Contract Opportunities website (<https://beta.sam.gov/>). If such amendments require material changes in quantities or prices, the proposal closing date may be postponed by

enough days to enable offerors to revise their proposals. In such cases, the amendment will include an announcement of the new closing date and time. Offerors **MUST** acknowledge all amendments in their proposal, either by completing SF 1442 Block 19, providing signed copies of the amendments with their proposal (Section III), or by separate letter which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers.

8.0 QUESTIONS.

All questions regarding this solicitation must be submitted in writing. All questions and subsequent answers will be posted to the Contract Opportunities website <https://beta.sam.gov/>. It is the responsibility of the contractor to continuously monitor the site for updates. To mitigate the risks associated with the cancellation of this solicitation due to untimely submission of questions, all questions must be submitted electronically to the individual(s) listed below no later than close of business 5 business days after the site visit. Any questions submitted after this period may not be answered.

Mr. Richard Beaty, Email: richard.beaty.3@us.af.mil

TSgt Peter Ungacta, Email: peter_john.ungacta@us.af.mil

Section M - Evaluation Factors for Award

Miscellaneous text in this section has been modified to:

Section M - Evaluation Factors for Award

1.0 SOURCE SELECTION

1.1 Basis for Contract Award.

This is a best value source selection conducted in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 15.3, Source Selection, as supplemented by the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS), and the Air Force Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (AFFARS). These regulations are available electronically at the Air Force (AF) FAR Site, <http://farsite.hill.af.mil>. The Best Value technique chosen for this particular acquisition will be a Subjective Tradeoff. The Government will select the best overall offer, based upon an integrated assessment of Technical/Technical Risk, Past Performance, and Cost/Price. Contract(s) may be awarded to the offeror who is deemed responsible in accordance with the FAR, as supplemented, whose proposal conforms to the solicitation's requirements (to include all stated terms, conditions, representations, certifications, and all other information required by Section L of this solicitation) and is judged, based on the evaluation factors and subfactors to represent the best value to the Government. The Government seeks to award to the offeror who gives the Air Force the greatest confidence that it will best meet, or exceed, the requirements. This may result in an award to a higher rated, higher priced offeror, where the decision is consistent with the evaluation factors, and the Source Selection Authority (SSA) reasonably determines that the technical and/or past performance of the higher price offeror outweighs the cost difference. The SSA will base the source selection decision on an integrated assessment of proposals against all source selection criteria in the solicitation (described below). While the Government source selection evaluation team and the SSA will strive for maximum objectivity, the source selection process, by its nature, is subjective; and therefore, professional judgment is implicit throughout the entire process.

1.2 Discussions.

If, during the evaluation period, it is determined to be in the best interest of the Government to hold discussions, offeror responses to Evaluation Notices (ENs) and the Final Proposal Revision (FPR) will be considered in making the source selection decision. If the offeror's proposal has been evaluated at the time discussions are

closed, any changes or exceptions in the Final Proposal Revision are subject to evaluation and may introduce risk to the offeror's proposal that may change the rating of the offeror's proposal.

2.0 EVALUATION FACTORS.

The following evaluation factors will be used to evaluate each proposal: Award will be made to the offeror proposing the combination most advantageous to the Government based upon an integrated assessment of the evaluation factors described below.

Factor 1: Technical

Subfactor 1: Project Schedule

Subfactor 2: Qualifications

Factor 2: Past Performance

Factor 3: Cost/Price

2.1 Relative Importance of Factors. The relative importance of each factor and subfactor is as follows: Past Performance, Technical, and Cost/Price. Order of importance: Factor 2 (Past Performance) being most important, Factor 1 (Technical) next in importance, and Factor 3 (Cost/Price) is last in importance. Within the Technical Factor, subfactor 1 is more important than subfactor 2.

In accordance with FAR 15.304(e), all evaluation factors other than Cost/Price, when combined, are significantly more important than cost or price.

3.0 TECHNICAL EVALUATION FACTORS.

The Technical evaluation provides for two distinct but related assessments into one rating: the Technical and the Technical Risk. These two have equal impact for the rating of each Technical factor.

3.1 Technical Assessment. The technical assessment provides an assessment of the quality of the offeror's solution for meeting the Government's requirement. The assessment will focus on the strengths and deficiencies of the offeror's proposal, and how well the offeror's proposal meets the Technical factor requirements.

3.2 Technical Risk Assessment. The Assessment of Technical Risk, which is manifested by the identification of weakness(es), considers potential for disruption of schedule, degradation of performance, the need for increased Government oversight, or the likelihood of unsuccessful contract performance. The risk rating considers the risk associated with the technical approach in meeting the requirement.

3.3 Technical Subfactor Ratings. Each Technical subfactor will receive one of the color ratings described in DoD Source Selection Procedures, Table 3 - Combined Technical/Risk Ratings, excerpted below. The Technical Risk descriptions identified in the Combined Technical/Risk Rating description are further defined in DoD Source Selection Procedures, Table 2B - Technical Risk Descriptions, excerpted below. Factor ratings shall not be rolled up into an overall color rating for the Technical factor

Table 3 - COMBINED TECHNICAL/RISK RATINGS

TABLE 3 – COMBINED TECHNICAL/RISK RATINGS		
Color Rating	Adjectival Rating	Description
Blue	Outstanding	Proposal indicates an exceptional approach and understanding of the requirements and contains multiple strengths.
Purple	Good	Proposal indicates a thorough approach and understanding of the requirements and contains at least one strength.
Green	Acceptable	Proposal meets requirements and indicates an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements, and risk of unsuccessful performance is no worse than moderate.
Yellow	Marginal	Proposal has not demonstrated an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements, and/or risk of unsuccessful performance is high.
Red	Unacceptable	Proposal does not meet requirements of the solicitation, and thus, contains one or more deficiencies, and/or risk of unsuccessful performance is unacceptable. Proposal is unawardable.

Table 2B - TECHNICAL RISK DESCRIPTIONS

TABLE 2B – TECHNICAL RISK DESCRIPTIONS	
Rating	Description
Low	Proposal may contain weakness(es) which have little potential to cause disruption of schedule, increased cost or degradation of performance. Normal contractor effort and normal Government monitoring will likely be able to overcome any difficulties.
Moderate	Proposal contains a significant weakness or combination of weaknesses which may potentially cause disruption of schedule, increased cost or degradation of performance. Special contractor emphasis and close Government monitoring will likely be able to overcome difficulties.
High	Proposal contains a significant weakness or combination of weaknesses which is likely to cause significant disruption of schedule, increased cost or degradation of performance. Is unlikely to overcome any difficulties, even with special contractor emphasis and close Government monitoring.
Unacceptable	Proposal contains a material failure or a combination of significant weaknesses that increases the risk of unsuccessful performance to an unacceptable level.

3.4 SUBFACTOR 1: Project Schedule:

Using the provided specifications, the offeror must provide a project schedule and narrative supporting the schedule. The proposal shall describe procedures, processes and methods for tracking the progress of the project

and interface with the Government and contractor team from beginning to end.

3.4.1 The progress schedule and supporting narrative will be evaluated on how well the offeror captured the separate work elements of the project, the order of work elements to include project phasing, number of days for each work element, and the identification of long lead time materials. Long lead items such as major equipment, electrical equipment, pre-engineered piping, etc., must be specifically identified in the technical proposal. If there are no Long Lead Time Materials, the proposal must state that there are no Long Lead Time Materials. The AF Form 3064, Contract Progress Schedule shall reflect a 180-day performance period. At a minimum, the project must include: mobilization, submittals, demolition, and major work elements by specification division, final inspection, demobilization, and close-out documents. The schedule must be in the form of a progress chart of suitable scale to indicate appropriately the percentage of work scheduled for completion by any given date during the performance period.

3.4.2 The project schedule itself shall be evaluated to determine if it visually depicts and addresses each of the following elements:

3.4.2.1 Identification of separate work elements

3.4.2.2 Order of work elements to include project phasing (including identification of critical period as discussed in paragraph 1.2 above)

3.4.2.3 Number of days for each work element

3.4.2.4 Identification of Long Lead Time Materials (Long lead items such as major equipment, electrical equipment, pre-engineered piping, etc., must be specifically identified in the technical proposal. If there are no Long Lead Time Materials, the proposal must state that there are no Long Lead Time Materials.).

3.5 SUBFACTOR 2: Qualifications.

3.5.1 Qualifications: The Source Selection Team will evaluate the contractor's proposed key personnel who will be involved in the day-to-day accomplishment of this contract including, as a minimum, personnel qualified in the areas identified below. The Source Selection Team will evaluate this subfactor to determine if the qualifications of proposed personnel meet the identified minimum requirements, certifications, and licenses required for personnel performing activities or acting in the specific capacity.

-Program/Project Manager (PM):

The contractor's Program/Project Manager shall have as a minimum at least five (5) years experience managing construction projects. The PM is the primary POC for the CO for all contractual issues with regards to project/program execution and is responsible for the overall management of this contract. The PM is to ensure that quality work is accomplished on schedule and assuring qualified personnel and subcontractors are assigned to complete performance. It is the PM's responsibility to ensure that contractor personnel and subcontractors possess the appropriate qualifications and experience to complete specified project tasks and that they comply with all statutes, regulations, as well as the contract requirements. The PM shall have and provide to CO, upon request, all required employee or subcontractor certifications and qualifications.

-Superintendent:

Must have a minimum of five (5) years experience in construction with at least two (2) years of those years as a superintendent on projects similar to the relevancy of the required project. Must demonstrate the familiarity with the requirements of EM 385-1-1 and have experience in the areas of hazard identification and safety compliance, to include successful completion

of the OSHA 10-hour Training Course within the past three (3) years.

4.0. PAST PERFORMANCE FACTOR.

The Past Performance evaluation will result in an overall performance confidence assessment as defined below. This performance confidence assessment represents the Government evaluation team's judgment of the probability of an offeror successfully accomplishing the proposed effort based on the offeror's demonstrated past and present performance. The performance confidence assessments are defined as follows:

PERFORMANCE CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENTS

Substantial Confidence

- Based on the offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a high expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

Satisfactory Confidence

- Based on the offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

Neutral Confidence

- No recent/relevant performance record is available or the offeror's performance record is so sparse that no meaningful confidence assessment rating can be reasonably assigned. The offerors may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on the factor of past performance.

Limited Confidence

- Based on the offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a low expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

No Confidence

- Based on the offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has no expectation that the offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort.

4.1 Evaluation Process: The past performance evaluation considers each offeror's demonstrated recent and relevant record of performance in supplying products and services that meet the contract's requirements. Performance confidence is assessed at the overall Past Performance factor level after evaluating aspects of the offeror's recent past performance, focusing on performance that is relevant to the Technical factors and Cost /Price factor taking into consideration their relative order of importance stated in Section M, paragraph 1.1(a). In conducting the Past Performance evaluation, the Government reserves the right to use both the information provided in the offeror's Past Performance proposal volume and information obtained from other sources available to the Government, to include, but not limited to, the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS) or other databases; interviews with Program Managers and Contracting Officers; the Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA), and commercial sources.

4.1.1 Recency Assessment: An assessment of the past performance information will be made to determine if it is recent. To be recent, the effort must be ongoing or must have been performed during the past six (6) years from the issuance month of this solicitation. Past performance information that fails this condition will not be evaluated.

4.1.2 Relevancy Assessment: The Government will conduct an in-depth evaluation of all recent performance information obtained to determine how closely the products provided/services performed under those contracts relate to the Technical factors and Cost/Price factor, including their relative order of importance (reference

Section M, 1.1(a)). Consideration will be given to projects involving the type of construction and work elements described in the attached Specifications. Relevant past performance information must demonstrate construction experience involving the type of construction and work elements described in the attached Specifications, Section 01 11 00, and costs comparable to the project magnitude as specified in Block 10 for the SF 1442, Request for Proposal. A relevancy determination of the offeror's past performance will be made based upon the aforementioned considerations, including joint venture and teaming partner(s). In determining relevancy for individual contracts, consideration will be given to the effort, or portion of the effort, being proposed by the offeror or teaming partner whose contract is being reviewed and evaluated. The past performance questionnaires and information obtained from other sources will be used to establish the degree of relevancy of past performance. The Government will use the following relevancy definitions when assessing recent contracts:

RELEVANCY ASSESSMENT DEFINED

Very Relevant

- Present/Past performance effort involved essentially the same scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.

Relevant

- Present/past performance effort involved similar scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.

Somewhat Relevant

- Present/past performance effort involved some of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.

Not Relevant

- Present/past performance effort involved little or none of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.

4.1.3 Quality of Performance. All recent past performance that is determined to be somewhat relevant, relevant, or very relevant will be reviewed to determine the quality of the offeror's performance, general trends, and the usefulness of the performance. This information will be used by the evaluation team in determining the overall performance confidence assessment rating.

4.1.4 Assigning Ratings: As a result of the relevancy of the recent contracts evaluated, offerors will receive an integrated performance confidence assessment rating. Although the past performance evaluation focuses on performance that is relevant to the Technical factors and cost/price factor, the resulting performance confidence assessment rating is made at the factor level and represents an overall evaluation of contractor performance. Offerors without a record of recent/relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is so sparse that no meaningful confidence assessment rating can be reasonably assigned will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance and, as a result, will receive an "Unknown Confidence" rating for the Past Performance factor. More recent performance will have a greater impact on the Performance Confidence Assessment than less recent or relevant effort. A strong record of relevant past performance may be considered more advantageous to the Government than an "Unknown Confidence" rating. Likewise, a more relevant past performance record may receive a higher confidence rating and be considered more favorably than a less relevant record of favorable performance.

4.1.5 If a Teaming Agreement is submitted as part of the proposal as outlined in Section L para 4.2, each teaming offeror's past performance will be evaluated separately using the same evaluation methods described above. The Prime Contractor's past performance will be weighted slightly more than equal based on their overall responsibility for contract management. The separate ratings of each party in the Teaming Agreement will be combined to determine an aggregate PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT CONFIDENCE RATING as defined in

Section M.

4.1.6 If an offer is submitted as a Joint Venture under a Mentor-Protege program as outline in Section L para 4.3, the Government will evaluate past performance for each partner to the Joint Venture individually as well as past performance accomplished by the Joint Venture itself. The separate ratings of each party in the Joint Venture will be combined to determine an aggregate PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT CONFIDENCE RATING as defined in Section M.

5.0 COST OR PRICE FACTOR.

The offeror's Cost/Price proposal will be evaluated to ensure it is reasonable, pursuant to FAR 15.404.

5.1 Reasonable: Must represent a price to the Government that a prudent person would pay in the conduct of competitive business.

6.0 CLARIFICATIONS, DISCUSSIONS, AND NEGOTIATIONS.

The Government intends to evaluate offers and award a contract without discussions with offerors. Therefore, the offerors initial offer should contain the offeror's best terms from a price and technical standpoint. In accordance with FAR 15.306, Offerors may be asked to clarify certain aspects of their proposal (for example, relevance of past performance information). Communications (Clarifications) conducted to resolve minor or clerical errors will not constitute discussions and the contracting officer reserves the right to award a contract without the opportunity for proposal revision. However, the Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if later determined by the Contracting Officer to be necessary. Discussions or negotiations may be conducted with all offerors in the competitive range. Offerors may be required to participate in telephone discussions or in face-to-face oral discussions at the 1st Special Operations Contracting Squadron, 350 Tully Street, Hurlburt Field, FL, 32544.

7.0 CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITY.

Once the apparent successful offeror is selected the Government will evaluate the offeror's financial capabilities and the specified criteria at FAR 9.104. Solicitation attachment 5, Financial Reference Worksheet will be forwarded to the financial institution and a determination will be made regarding the apparent successful offerors financial capabilities and overall contractor responsibility using the specified criteria at FAR 9.104.

8.0 SOLICITATION REQUIREMENTS, TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

Offerors are required to meet all solicitation requirements, such as terms and conditions, representations and certifications, and technical requirements, in addition to those identified as factors or sub factors. Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of the solicitation may result in the offeror being ineligible for award. Offerors must clearly identify any exception to the solicitation terms and conditions and must provide complete supporting rationale.