

## ADDENDUM NO. 3 - Tuesday, February 21, 2023

**FROM:** Barganier Davis Williams Architects Associated  
624 South McDonough Street, Montgomery, Alabama 36104

**TO:** **Bidders**

**RE:** **New Fire Station No. 10** for the City of Montgomery  
South Court Street  
Montgomery, Alabama 36104  
City Project No: SP-5-21  
BDW Project No: 2021 – 118

This Addendum forms a part of the Contract Documents dated February 02/02/2023. If possible, this Addendum will be emailed to the bidders, vendors etc. who have requested the download link to access Construction Documents but note that it will be the responsibility of all bidders, vendors etc. to periodically verify with the architect for the latest Addendums issued prior to Bid Date.

### **GENERAL:**

1. Refer to the Advertisement for Bids and change the **Mandatory Pre-Bid** date from Wednesday, February 22, 2023, to **Wednesday, March 1, 2023**. Time and place to remain the same.
2. Refer to the Advertisement for Bids and change the Bid Date from Tuesday, March 7, 2023, to **Tuesday, March 28, 2023**. Time and place to remain the same.

### **RFI:**

1. Sheet C-101 the staff parking lot is scheduled to add fence. The plan does not show a fence going along the back side of the parking lot. Is there an existing fence already there? **RESPONSE: See revised Sheet C-101 to show the fence closing on the north side of the staff parking lot.**
2. Please verify if there are any architectural block on this project. **RESPONSE: Yes.**
3. Can HDPE or HP be used in lieu of RCP for the storm pipe? **RESPONSE: HP storm can be used in lieu of RCP for the storm pipe.**

4. There is a note on sheet C-002 – EXISTING CONDITIONS that states: “note: the existing conditions shown here were the conditions of the site prior to the demolition package. Prior to beginning work on the site package, the contractor shall perform an as-built survey of the site. The price of the as-built survey shall be included in the bid. Any discrepancies shall be communicated to the owner and engineer so that it can be adjusted in the construction documents.”

How do we need to quantify and estimate earthwork volumes for this project when the existing topo on the plans is from when there was a building, slab and pavements there previously but now it has been demolished and the topo isn't accurate anymore? Do we bid based off of the existing topo, then if awarded, perform an as-built and any discrepancies between the topo on the plans and the as-built be handled through change order?

**RESPONSE: The contractor shall price the earthwork volumes from the existing topo. The contractors should also assume that the existing asphalt had a thickness of 4” and the existing concrete slab had a thickness of 5”. In addition to this, the contractor should give a unit price, as an allowance, for an additional haul-in of 1000 CYIP. This additional fill material takes into consideration any material that may have been removed during the demolition package.**

**DRAWINGS:**

1. Refer to Sheet C-002 - Existing Site Conditions. See clouded revisions.
2. Refer to Sheet C-102 - SITE PLAN - DEDUCT. See clouded revisions.
3. Refer to Sheet C-302 - UTILITY PLAN - BASE BID. See clouded revisions.

**END OF ADDENDUM NO. 3**